

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/760,612	PRAGER, SCOTT HOWARD	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas Duong	2145	

All Participants:

(1) Thomas Duong.

(2) Diane Torniali.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 October 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

JASON EARNONE AU2145
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ex.Duong left a message for Mr.Seth Ostrow, the attorney of record at Brown, Raysman, Millstein, Felder & Steiner LLP, regarding the status of the application since a timely response was not received. Ms.Torniali returned the call and indicated that it is the clients' intention to abandon the application.